

REMARKS

In the Office Action of April 7, 2006 claims 5 and 6 are rejected as unpatentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Scurlock in view of Borgford, and also rejected as unpatentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Ewald in view of Borgford.

Claim 5 is presented in independent form and claim 6 depends from claim 6. Claim 5 defines a plate member with two faces each having embossing of a particular configuration. Scurlock and Borgford disclose panels not sheets. The panel in Scurlock has triangular indentations which are not embossing. In Borgford, the three sided half cubes are also not embossing. Ewald is not unlike Scurlock in that it discloses a panel with a member between two parallel sheets which form elevations and depressions.


The specifics of claim 5 and the further specifics of clai 6 are not found in the two combination of references cited.

New claim 9 has been added in view of the art cited. Claim 9 is rendered of intermediate scope between claims 5 and 6, and defines over the art as applied to claims 5 and 6 for the same reasons noted above.

Re-examination of claims 5 and 6 and examination of new claim 9 are respectfully requested, and claims 5, 6 and 9 found allowable over the art now of record.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Sept. 7, 2006



Felix J. D'Ambrosio
Reg. No. 25,721